IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

* CIVIL 98-1893 (JAG)

* Plaintiff,

vs.

* San Juan, Puerto Rico

* November 28, 2005

**

Defendants.

*

EXCERPT OF THIRD DAY OF JURY TRIAL - PARTIAL TESTIMONY OF DR. VICTOR LLADO

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAY A. GARCIA-GREGORY, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

BARBARA DACHMAN, RPR, RMR, CRR (787) 722-0132 bdachman@realwriterspr.com

APPEARANCES

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

GLENN JAMES HERNANDEZ, ESQ.

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

LAVINIA APARICIO LOPEZ, ESQ. JOSUE GONZALEZ ORTIZ, ESQ. Puerto Rico Department of Justice

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JAMES:

Ą.

1.1

3, 4

- Q. Okay, what is your opinion, sir, with a reasonable degree of medical certainty, as to the emotional damages or mental disorder of Orlando Ocasio Alsina due to the delay of medical access when he had toxoplasmosis, HIV dementia, and a head trauma, sir?
- A. In my opinion, he developed, most probably, a major depressive disorder as a result of the various organic physical conditions and the various problems he was experiencing, and that depressive disorder was never properly diagnosed and treated.

Therefore, that lack of access to adequate diagnosis and treatment from the psychiatric point of view contributed to his suffering and his becoming very emotional, very unstable, due to the depression he was suffering, and it's possible that it may have, in some way, affected his physiological and his physical conditions.

Like I explained before, there is a close relationship between the somatic over the physical and the psychological. It has been proven by certain research and has been confirmed by professors like Marshall Forstein from Harvard and Frank Fernandez from the University of Tampa that the absence of adequate psychiatric treatment in AIDS patients affects the progression of the illness and the severity of

the AIDS condition.

G

1.0

3.4

So, in my estimation, that is an additional twist in the damage; that there is a distinct possibility that with treatment psychiatrically, he would have enjoyed a better quality of life for whatever period of life he had remaining, at least from the psychological and the emotional point of view. And there is a possibility, in my opinion, that his overall physical state, or at least immunity, could have been improved with the psychiatric treatment.

But strictly speaking from the emotional, from the psychiatric point of view, that — that severe depression he suffered was never properly treated and diagnosed. And that, in and of itself, aside from lack of treatment for the medical conditions which apparently was also present there for the same period of time — that is to say, AIDS or toxoplasmosis and so on, which were added insult to injury and were additional burdens — but just strictly speaking about the depression, that in and of itself is sufficiently distressing to anyone, to be so depressed and feeling so affected emotionally and not get any treatment.

So essentially that's what I think.

MR. JAMES: I have no further questions, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GONZALEZ:

б

1.1

1.3

1.4

Q. Dr. Llado, you have testified that Mr. Ocasio Alsina suffered from a deep depression because of his -- his conditions that are related -- that were related with AIDS.

What were those conditions?

A. Well, I think his depressive condition has multiple origins. One factor is the trauma of the riot itself. That event, in and of itself, provokes strong emotional reactions.

And then his being allegedly assaulted, falling down, suffering trauma to the head, all of that is, again, adding insult to injury and compounding the origin of the depressive condition. The depressive condition is caused by multiple factors.

The trauma, emotionally, of the riot -- you know, when you are part of a riot like that, it's not just the beating you suffer or the fall, and so on. It's also the fear and the emotional, intense reaction, which, I think, contributes to trigger the depression initially.

Chronologically, then, you have the trauma to the head, the deficits, the neurological deficits, the hemiplegia, the hemiparalysis he suffers as a result of the blow, and the brain injuries described by Dr. Marcial.

There is also contributing elements that come from the AIDS itself: the dementia. AIDS induces depression

"7

3.0

1.1.

frequently in and of itself, aside from the other factors.

So if you continue adding little bits of different factors that are contributing to the depression -- we have many very serious factors, you know? Toxoplasmosis is also a serious condition which, if untreated, will affect the brain and, you know, will contribute to the development of the depression.

And then, after several days, realizing that you can't walk, feeling so dependent, feeling so upset, not getting sufficient treatment, wanting treatment and not getting treatment, will get a person very anxious and very desperate, and eventually start contributing to a depression. Because, after all, ultimately, in many ways, when this happens, it makes you feel you are good for nothing. If nobody pays attention to you, nobody treats you, nobody helps you, you feel so bad. You know, you start feeling upset and guilty and feeling, you know, "What's wrong with me? I must be really in bad shape."

And then that contributes to the sense of sadness and the depression, because it affects your self-image, your body image, feeling like that.

So as time goes by, the depression, untreated, continues to distend and aggravate. That's the normal course of an untreated depression.

Q. And you stated that the AIDS itself is a cause of

depression; is that correct?

- A. I'm sure it contributed a part. You know, it's hard to quantify, because he had so many serious factors, but I am sure that the AIDS and the dementia that I am sure was present was a contributing factor there, yes.
 - Q. And when he entered -- and as you stated before, you found out from the medical record that he already had AIDS when he entered the correctional system.
 - A. That's my opinion.
 - Q. We were talking about the use of drug in this person, and as far as I remember, you stated that heroin was a depressant and has some effects on the people. Is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, I said it could induce hypoactivity or depressivelike symptoms. But it's usually while you are using. I said it was a chronic active use. If you are not using it anymore, then those effects may fade away over time.
 - Q. And you told us -- and you stated that from the record Ocasio Alsina or Mr. Ocasio Alsina had used heroin for a long period of time; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, um-hmm.
 - Q. So he has a chronic problem of addiction. It is likely that he had this chronic problem of drug use; is that correct?

Ą.

б

- A. It so appears, before entering the correctional facility. The past history of substance abuse.
- Q. Can you tell us if drug withdrawal has any effect upon the person who is an addict?
- A. Yes, usually for a few hours or a few days, maybe.

 You know, agitation, bone aches, you know, and so on. But
 again, once you recover from the withdrawal, at least those
 physical symptoms are not going to be as evident.
- Q. A person -- how many days? A person who has a chronic problem of drug use, how many days he suffered from this withdrawal?
- A. This is variable. It depends on the amount. It depends on the types of medication you are using and how frequently you are using it. Because, you know, chronic use may be intermittent. Sometimes chronic heroin addicts don't use heroin in the same amount or don't use it daily. You know, it varies.

So the reaction and whether or not you are going to have severe withdrawal effects depends on the exposure, the amount, and the frequency.

- Q. So -- but can that withdrawal effect take weeks to overcome?
- A. Not usually, from the physical point of view, if it's only heroin, unless there is a mixture of other things. But normally the most prominent physical symptoms are going to

- disappear within a few days.
- 2 **Q**. Okay.

3

復

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

16

17

19

20

23

- But you can't state it for sure how many days in this case, in this specific case.
 - A. If we are talking about only heroin, it shouldn't have been more than a few days, namely, three, four days at the very most. That is, physiological signs of withdrawal.
 - Q. And this withdrawal can cause depression?
 - A. It's more agitation, really, merely. You know, people complaining about bone aches and not being able to sleep, and so on. It's not so much depression, no.
 - Q. Can you repeat that, please?
- A. It's mostly agitation, particularly at the beginning, people feeling, you know, ill physically, boney aches, insomniac, anxious, and so on, are the more visible.
 - Q. And you stated that the people used to complain and --
 - A. Of pains, boney pains, or headaches, or whatever.
- 18 | Q. Because of this withdrawal.
 - A. If they have physiological signs of withdrawal, if they have a significant drug abuse problem, they could, yes.
- 21 Q. You stated that drug abuse was a mental illness or a 22 mental condition. Is that correct?
 - A. It's considered a psychiatric diagnosis, yes.
- 24 Q. And when you -- when you made a report -- when you 25 made -- excuse me -- when you make a report, you consider

any mental illness background to reach an accurate conclusion about the -- about the diagnosis of these people?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

g

5

б

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When you make -- when you made the forensic psychiatric report, did you mention something about drugs in this case?
- A. I don't think I did, on my report.
- Q. You didn't.
- A. No.
- 10 Q. But from the record it was apparent that this person ll had drug problems.
 - A. Yeah, but in my opinion that wasn't directly relevant to the issues, and focusing on the case by nature of the range of my testimony, that was a past history before he entered the facility and it was no longer an issue, in my estimation, when I reviewed the records.

In my report, I try to present those things that are more materially relevant, in a more brief or succinct manner as to the issues of the case. There is no sense in bringing additional information that is not directly relevant or is in the past.

Q. So you found more relevant the statement of Miss Alsina than any document in the medical record that suggests that Ocasio Alsina might have some problem with drugs?

A. No, no, I didn't say that.

1.0

1.8

In reviewing the medical records, you do a critical analysis. As an expert, you do a screening and you consider several things in analyzing the central issues of a case, and you then would make a priority as to what issues are important now or relevant to the mental state we are analyzing at this point. That is to say, as a result of the events of November the 7th.

His history of substance abuse in the past, in my opinion, when I reviewed the record, was not something that I needed to include in my report, because it was not directly relevant. It wasn't affecting his mentation, it wasn't related to the issues we were discussing, what we needed to make opinions about that were before the Court. It was something that was way in the past and had no direct bearing on the issues under analysis, in my opinion.

So that's why it wasn't mentioned. But it was on the record.

- Q. So what you mean is that you completely disregarded the --
- A. No.
 - Q. Well, I am just asking you if you disregard any mental condition related to drug addiction in this case.
 - A. No, I did not disregard it. I knew he had a history of substance abuse in the past, but what I am saying is, in

analyzing the record and in analyzing the information that I reviewed that was more contemporaneous, was more directly relevant to the time frame we are discussing, in my opinion, that did not -- that is to say, the history of past substance abuse did not have any significance in my making a diagnosis for the time period we are involved in now in this case.

- Q. So did you find any document that stated, in the medical record, that Ocasio Alsina overcame his drug abuse problem?
- A. There is no record that he had a substance abuse problem in prison.
- O. So --

Ą.

- A. So logically, yes, I assumed that he no longer had a substance abuse problem at the time of the riot or after the riot.
- Q. So you think that he was -- when he entered the -- when he entered the correctional facilities, he was cured of his drug addiction problem.
- A. Well, that's a reasonable assumption, that at least he was free of drugs.

When one enters the facility, you know, you are searched, you are treated, you are detoxed, or whatever. That was a reasonable assumption.

But bear in mind that we are focusing on the time

frame of November the 7th.

ì

- Q. But there is any possibility that during this time frame he wasn't cured of his drug addiction?
- A. That is possible, but it's not reflected in the record, so I think it's a reasonable assumption that he was not abusing drugs during that period of time, because there is no indication that he was, on the record.
- Q. There is no indication on the record that he had drug problems? Is that what you are stating?
- A. No, no, no, no ---

MR. JAMES: Objection, your Honor.

Unjust summary of what he priorly stated. He said at that time.

THE COURT: He said at that time.

BY MR. GONZALEZ:

- Q. So at that time -- you assumed that at that moment he overcame his drug addiction. But the reality is that there is no evidence in the record that showed that -- there is no evidence in the record that can -- in which you can conclude that he had some treatment or that he had some -- or that he had actually overcame his problem?
- A. There is no evidence on the record that he had an active substance abuse problem in the correctional system; that it was proven or tested or demonstrated that he was taking drugs in the correctional facility. There is no such

medical record.

3.0

2.7

And, in my opinion, should be have been, then most probably at some point he would have been treated or detected or tested, or there would have been some record. And the fact is that the records that we have, like the checklists we mentioned earlier from June and July of 1997 that were done by certain mental health professionals, did not reflect that he was actively — did not reflect that he was actively using drugs or that it was proven or that it had been tested to document the existence of the drugs. There is no such thing.

- Q. Dr. Llado, you were hired for this case, so you only considered the fact that the plaintiffs want to prove in this case; is that correct?
- A. No, no, no, that's not correct.
- Q. If your assumption is wrong, then that would invalidate your assessment of damages.
- A. What assumption?

MR. JAMES: Objection, your Honor.

Unjust summary of what was priorly stated.

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, your Honor, I think that I am asking a valid question about his foundation for -- to reach a conclusion in this case.

THE COURT: What is it that you want him to state? What is your question?

MR. GONZALEZ: Well, my question is, your Honor, that if there is any evidence from the record that -- if in the record there exists any document that invalidates that Ocasio Alsina would have, in this time period -- in that time period that we are talking about, a drug problem.

MR. JAMES: Objection, your Bosor.

That has been asked and answered.

THE COURT: He has already testified that there is no such document. You see? He says that there are no records that would indicate that he had that problem during that time period.

BY MR. GONZALEZ:

1.1

1.3

- Q. When you were reviewing the medical record of Mr. Ocasio, did you consider any waivers of treatment that were in the record?
- A. I saw a couple of documents that are sort of titled like that, yeah. I remember that.
- Q. Did you see any document that stated that he was taken to the hospital?
- A. I don't remember that one, but I saw several documents where he was soliciting and requesting treatment a couple of times in November and December. I don't know if those are the ones you are referring to.
- Q. Did you see any document that stated or in which can be demonstrated that he was taken to the hospital for a CT

scan?

3.3

- A. I don't recall that. I mean, the record is so -- I don't ever recall seeing a result of a CT scan either, but the records are so tremendously large -- they take a full drawer in my file cabinet -- so I don't -- that, I don't remember.
 - Q. So you didn't personally treat Ocasio Alsina in this case.
 - A. No, he died. When I was evaluating this case, he had already died.
 - Q. Have you personally treated Miss Maria Alsina in this case?
 - A. No, I never have.
 - Q. What do you consider lack of access to medical care?
 - A. Well, he had very serious medical conditions: AIDS, toxoplasmosis, and so on, and depression, and he was not treated, is my understanding, until January. So after the events of November the 7th, then we are talking about at least a good couple of months, essentially, without proper access to the comprehensive treatment he needed.
 - Q. So the medical record that you saw, that you evaluated, was generated by doctors or physicians; is that true?
 - A. Well, some of them were by others, like I said, some mental health professionals, but if they are medical

- records, they are usually written by physicians, although
 there may be other professionals, you know, lab technicians,
 or whatever. Many times it would be physicians.
 - Q. So we can say that he was seen by medical staff, by some medical staff during that time?
 - A. I don't think so. I don't recall. The time we are referring to here, the span of time, when he was treated between November the 8th and January, it is my understanding that he was never treated.
 - Q. I am not talking that if he was treated, I am talking that if he was seen; that if some medical professional made some kind of evaluation upon Ocasio Alsina.
 - A. Between November the 8th and January?
- 14 | Q. Um-hmm.

б

- A. Not that I can remember? Where there is a full medical assessment? No.
- Q. No, not a full medical assessment. My question is that if a person if a medical staff had seen Ocasio Alsina in any manner or in any way during that period of time.
- A. I think -- I really don't remember that he was ever seen by a physician or treated by a physician during that period of time.
- Q. No, but by a lab technician or by a --
- 25 A. Oh, I don't -- I don't recall that. I think right

l

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after the riot, he may have been assisted by some other personnel, but not a physician. ٥. Okay. But some kind of -- so he was seen by some kind of medical personnel. But then again, though, no full medical assessment or evaluation was found on the record. I vaguely recall his being seen by someone. I don't know if it was a physician assistant or a nurse, or something, shortly after the riot, but that's all. MR. GONZALEZ: I have no further question, your Honor. THE COURT: You don't have any questions? MR. JAMES: I have no questions. THE COURT: I do have a question, doctor. You said that you reviewed the medical file of this plaistiff, and you also reviewed the report by Dr. Marcial. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Did you notice in that report or in those medical files that a CT scan had been performed on this patient, without contrast, before January? Between

THE WITNESS: No. No.

November 7th and January.

THE COURT: You didn't see that?

THE WITNESS: No.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

1.3

14

3.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And you said that, if I believe correctly, or you are inferring that this patient had toxoplasmosis even before January and dating back most probably to the time that he entered the correctional system.

Upon what do you base that inference?

THE WITNESS: Well, it's on the records, and that -- and also it's also mentioned the diagnosis of toxoplasmosis by Dr. Marcial.

THE COURT: So the basis for that inference would be the autopsy report, the -- Dr. Marcial's report, and what happened in January when he was intervened at the Medical Center.

THE WITNESS: Later on, yes. Yes. Um-hmm.

THE COURT: Okay.

So -- but -- so your inference with respect to a retroactive condition concerning this toxoplasmosis is based on those documents.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is retrospective. You know, it's because of the extent of the damage found according to Dr. Marcial --

THE COURT: Then would the blow that he received at the riot have made any difference with respect to his developing the toxoplasmosis?

THE WITNESS: No, no, no. According to

1.	Dr. Marcial, the toxoplasmosis was already there. And
2	actually the blow contributed to the damage in the brain
3	because the toxoplasmosis lesions debilitate the boundaries
4	of the brain
5	THE COURT: And they try to push out
6	THE WITNESS: and fracture more easily and
7	cause more damage, because the toxoplasmosis is a
8	space-occupying lesion.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9 Tong.	THE COURT: And eventually it found it's way to
12	the brain stem.
13	THE WITNESS: Exactly,
1.4	THE COURT: I have no further questions.
15	Do you have any further questions?
16	MS. APARICIO: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, doctor, very much.
3.8	You are excused.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	(The witness is excused.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BARBARA DACHMAN, Official Court Reporter in the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the within entitled and numbered cause on the date hereinbefore set forth; and I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.

BARBARA DACHMAN

ĩĩ.